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VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT
The Vancouver Police Department (VPD) acknowledges that it proudly serves the public on the unceded traditional territories of the xʷməθkʷəy̓əm (Musqueam), sḵwx̱wú7mesh (Squamish), and sel̓íl̓witulh (Tsleil-Waututh) Nations.
VANCOUVER’S SOCIAL SAFETY NET

KEY NUMBERS

$5B* PER YEAR

SIZE OF VANCOUVER’S SOCIAL SAFETY NET, EQUATING TO $7,200 PER VANCOUVER RESIDENT OR $14 MILLION PER DAY.

$1.1M* PER DAY

Investments into charitable organizations based in the Downtown Eastside (DTES).

$327,000 PER DOOR

Average purchase price for social housing units in Vancouver. In 2020 and 2021, 817 units of social housing were purchased in Vancouver by various levels of government for a total of approximately $267 million.1

$3,125 PER MONTH

Estimated operating cost for each unit of social housing in Vancouver, according to the City of Vancouver. Many of these units are no larger than a hotel room or a micro-loft (approx. 250 square feet).

527 FATAL OVERDOSES

• Number of fatal overdoses in Vancouver in 2021, an increase of 26% over 2020 and 115% over 2019.2

80%

• Percentage of all persons with an opioid use disorder within the Vancouver Coastal Health (VCH) region who reside or receive community-based services in the DTES.3

60%

• Probability that a person with a substance use disorder would be readmitted within 30 days after being discharged from an emergency department in BC, as of 2017.4

12%*

• Percentage of community and social services charities in Vancouver providing direct services to individuals as of 2019, according to HelpSeeker.5

6%*

• Share of Vancouver’s social safety net accounted for by the VPD. For every dollar spent on policing, $15 is spent on other areas of Vancouver’s social safety net.

10

Minimum number of provincial ministries and City departments directly involved in Vancouver’s social safety net.

* From HelpSeeker’s report.
The purpose of this report is to summarize and provide further context for HelpSeeker’s Social Impact Audit (SIA) of Vancouver’s social safety net. HelpSeeker defines the social safety net as programs and services delivered through charities to support community and individual well-being and mitigate vulnerability. As the primary community partner responsible for public safety, the Vancouver Police Department (VPD) is invested in the city’s social safety net and is committed to improving the safety and quality of life for all people in Vancouver. The SIA will promote transparency, accountability, and collaboration, thereby setting the groundwork for a better, more coordinated service delivery model.

As frontline first responders, VPD officers have been exposed personally and directly to the devastating effects of inadequately addressed complex community social issues. They have seen the barriers that prevent persons who seek treatment from accessing social service supports and have identified gaps. The VPD engaged HelpSeeker, a social innovation company, to gain a better understanding of Vancouver’s social safety net and help decision-makers as they address social challenges such as poverty, homelessness, mental health challenges, substance use disorders, and other hardships in Vancouver. The need for better coordination within the social services sector was first highlighted by the VPD more than 13 years ago with Project Lockstep. The VPD has also repeatedly advocated for more mental health supports, starting with Lost in Transition, and new strategies to tackle the opioid crisis. These same issues remain unresolved and the situation has continued to deteriorate. All community partners involved in Vancouver’s social safety net recognize that more needs to be done to help individuals facing social challenges. Despite collective efforts to try to help those most in need, outcomes have not improved. The available evidence suggests that the current approach is ineffective. As revealed by the HelpSeeker report, there appears to be a copious amount of resources, services, and funding. However, there is a growing recognition that they may not be allocated appropriately or managed well. This impacts public safety in Vancouver.

HelpSeeker used a systematic approach to capture and analyze known and available sources contributing to Vancouver’s social safety net; the network of supports meant to improve the safety and quality of life for the most vulnerable persons in the community.

HelpSeeker’s SIA is an important first step to assess service effectiveness and efficiency because it provides an overview of financial investments and resources currently available to support Vancouver’s social safety net. The VPD’s aspiration with this report is to improve the situation for those suffering the most.

---

i The full findings can be found in HelpSeeker’s report “Igniting Transformational Systems Change Through Policing”

Why Did the VPD Choose HelpSeeker?

HelpSeeker is an established company with a sound methodology and a proven track record looking at the social safety net of many Canadian jurisdictions such as Edmonton and Ottawa.

The HelpSeeker team is comprised of experts who have the qualifications and expertise to gather, review, and analyze relevant community financial data. It also has the ability to engage key stakeholders to obtain the required datasets for analysis.

HelpSeeker is comprised of social and data scientists, systems mappers, and community success strategists motivated to trigger positive social change.

Engaging HelpSeeker not only provides third-party independence, but also consistency in methods to compare outcomes across other Canadian jurisdictions.

The DTES has become notorious for the pervasiveness of social issues such as homelessness, poverty, substance use disorders, mental illness, and crime. These challenges have roots linking back to the deinstitutionalization of Riverview Hospital and a de facto containment strategy. Furthermore, with comparatively mild, temperate climates, the number of vulnerable persons converging in the DTES continues to grow.

Collectively, such social conditions have created a perfect storm of social dysfunction and disorder. Although there is a high concentration of social services in the DTES, it has also been found that individuals who migrate to the DTES experience “significant personal decline rather than recovery” (Somers et al., 2016, p. 6), with increased access to acute care, involvement in the criminal justice system, and extended periods of homelessness.

The VPD is always working towards open dialogue between organizations and communities that all work towards serving those in need. The VPD is committed to building a path forward for the community focused on the coordination of all issues that are inter-related, including the opioid crisis, homelessness, and public safety issues. A strategic partnership with HelpSeeker was an opportunity to maximize systematic service integration.

The VPD recognizes that strong, coordinated municipal leadership is needed to:

- Align resources with social needs
- Strengthen existing partnerships
- Build a strong and accountable referral network

HelpSeeker’s work is aligned with recommendations in the DTES Second Generation Strategy (recommendation #24 states to “establish a continuum of care for major aspects of the DTES health service system, such as supported housing or addiction services, and communicate broadly about these continuums for easier and more transparent system navigation”).

As already mentioned in HelpSeeker’s report, the work outlined in the HelpSeeker document extends and supports the work in Project Lockstep—which the VPD identified many years ago. Clearly, the changes we recommended not only did not occur, but are needed now more than ever.
WHAT DID HELPSEEKER FIND?

HELPSEEKER FOUND THAT MORE THAN $5 BILLION IS DIRECTED ANNUALLY INTO VANCOUVER’S SOCIAL SAFETY NET …

HelpSeeker estimates that the delivery of social support and community services in Vancouver amounts to more than $5 billion per year.* This equates to $7,200 per Vancouver resident, or $14 million per day.

DID YOU KNOW? *

- For context, the entire budget of Vancouver Coastal Health in 2020 was $4 billion. The Fraser Health Authority’s budget was also $4 billion.
- Vancouver’s social safety net is three times the annual budget of the City of Vancouver ($1.7 billion) and 5.4 times the annual budget of BC Ferries.*
- Vancouver’s social safety net is larger than the entire Federal government’s Global Affairs Canada budget dedicated to international assistance in 2018-2019 ($4.6 billion).10
- Vancouver’s social safety net is also larger than its entire film and television industry ($4.1 billion in 2019, a record year).11
- As another comparison, C$5 billion is roughly equivalent to US$4 billion – more than NASA’s annual budget for the International Space Station (ISS).12
  - This is equivalent to the construction costs of three brand-new Dallas Cowboys football stadiums every year.13
  - It is also comparable to the annual operating budget of the entire National Hockey League (NHL).14
- To put in perspective the potential impact that this amount of money can have, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation spent US$4.375 billion in 2019 on global health and development initiatives aimed at improving disease control and saving millions of lives worldwide.15

* These examples are numerical/dollar comparisons not value comparisons
In particular, HelpSeeker found that $1.46 billion in funding was allocated in 2019 to registered charities that delivered community and social services. This amounts to approximately $2,100 per Vancouver resident, making Vancouver the city with the highest concentration of community and social services charities across all Canadian jurisdictions studied by HelpSeeker.16

Most of these charitable investments are concentrated into Vancouver’s Downtown Eastside (DTES) specifically.17iii The DTES is referenced frequently by HelpSeeker because, although it is a vibrant close-knit community, it also has a significant concentration of social challenges converging from all parts of Canada. HelpSeeker found that $406 million in charitable investments were directed to organizations with addresses in the DTES, representing more than $1M a day.18 This is equivalent to $19,500 per DTES resident per year.

In particular, HelpSeeker found that $1.46 billion in funding was allocated in 2019 to registered charities that delivered community and social services. This amounts to approximately $2,100 per Vancouver resident, making Vancouver the city with the highest concentration of community and social services charities across all Canadian jurisdictions studied by HelpSeeker.16

Most of these charitable investments are concentrated into Vancouver’s Downtown Eastside (DTES) specifically.17iii The DTES is referenced frequently by HelpSeeker because, although it is a vibrant close-knit community, it also has a significant concentration of social challenges converging from all parts of Canada. HelpSeeker found that $406 million in charitable investments were directed to organizations with addresses in the DTES, representing more than $1M a day.18 This is equivalent to $19,500 per DTES resident per year.

HelpSeeker’s estimate should be interpreted as a conservative one.

First, only a portion of provincial government expenditures into the social safety net were included in the financial estimates compiled by HelpSeeker. For example, $3.57 billion was spent by the Ministry of Social Development and Poverty Reduction in 2019.20 While some of these funds may be included indirectly in the form of donations to Vancouver’s charitable sector (e.g. grants), the rest is not included as part of HelpSeeker’s $5 billion tally.

Next, not all charities and non-profit organizations are included in the analysis. For example, religious and educational charities such as the Union Gospel Mission and the Boys and Girls Club are excluded from HelpSeeker’s analysis.

Finally, HelpSeeker’s report excludes capital investments such as government funding intended to build or acquire new social housing units in Vancouver. For example, upwards of 800 units of social housing were purchased in Vancouver by various levels of government in 2020 and 2021, for a combined estimated cost of approximately $267 million.21 None of these capital investments, or earlier social housing investments, are included in HelpSeeker’s $5 billion tally.
HELPSEEKER’S REPORT RAISES QUESTIONS ABOUT THE OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF THESE INVESTMENTS AND THE LACK OF COORDINATION.

“This report highlights many of the cracks that exist in the current social ecosystem in Vancouver. For many years, it has been widely understood by those who work within the system, as well as by those who access the system, that it does not function in a way that is beneficial to either stakeholders or the community at large.”

– HELPSEEKER’S REPORT, PAGE 19.

Despite considerable efforts and financial resources invested into the social safety net, a disproportionate number of lives continue to be lost in Vancouver due to preventable causes, including fatal drug overdoses.22

In 2021, there were 527 fatal overdoses in Vancouver, an increase of 26% over 2020 and 115% over 2019.23 With a rate of 58 overdose deaths per 100,000 persons in 2020, Vancouver had more fatal overdoses per capita than Chicago, New York City, and Los Angeles.24

Despite sustained efforts to deliver more coordinated and integrated health care across British Columbia, the probability that a person with a substance use disorder would be readmitted within 30 days after being discharged from an emergency department (ED) increased from 49.5% in 2013 to 60.5% in 2017.25

The DTES, in particular, remains one of the most impoverished neighborhoods in Canada and the worst area in Metro Vancouver in terms of situational vulnerability.26 Relative to the average British Columbia resident, a DTES resident is 32.3% more likely to live with depression, 30.8% more likely to live with mood and anxiety disorders, and seven times more likely to live with schizophrenia or other delusional disorders.27

As highlighted by HelpSeeker’s report, these persistent and concentrated social challenges are seen and felt by local residents, businesses, commuters, and visitors. They also raise questions around the efficacy of the current response and reiterate the need for reform.

“Despite the investment allocated to this area, social issues have been exacerbated over the last few years, pointing to the need for continuous improvement required at the systems levels.”

– HELPSEEKER’S REPORT, PAGE 55.
HELPSEEKER’S REPORT RECOMMENDS A RENEWED FOCUS ON INDIVIDUAL AND COMMUNITY OUTCOMES.

“With an average of $1.3 billion in funds going to charities, of which only 12% was traceable to charities delivering direct services, we have a considerable crisis, with work to do to define if this design is meeting our needs. Where it is not, we must determine how we might do it better by doing it differently.

[...]

Could there be a way to examine the current investments and redeploy more investments to direct service delivery to meet this need? What would be the ideal front-line staff requirement to provide appropriate levels of services for residents?”

— HELPSEEKER’S REPORT PAGES 22 AND 65.

More than ever, a better understanding of what does and does not work is needed to inform future decision-making in the social service sector. In order to close this gap, policy-makers and researchers must ask difficult questions and look past simplistic solutions to ensure that long-term problems are not perpetuated.

A 2021 systematic review of the academic literature concluded that long-term stable housing scattered across the rental market, combined with the provision of supportive services tailored to each client’s needs and choices (“permanent supportive housing”), was effective in improving housing stability and quality of life for people with a lived experience of homelessness. However, the ability of these same supportive housing interventions to improve mental health or substance use outcomes remains unclear.

One study revealed that antipsychotic medication adherence only reached 61% across Vancouver residents in congregate social housing. Adherence to methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) is also believed to be similarly low, even with supportive housing. For context, scientific studies suggest that antipsychotic drugs will have the desired effects only when adherence exceeds 80%.

Researchers have already suggested that new models of care may need to be implemented. In the meantime, more studies and tracking mechanisms are needed to identify solutions and assess what improvements are being achieved by the investments and other significant efforts that are poured into Vancouver’s social safety net.

In Vancouver, there is an incongruity between areas of the social services sector that receive the most funding and the social solutions that are proven to have positive outcomes. While generous funding is allocated to reactive measures to alleviate the effects or symptoms of poverty, there is comparatively little funding reserved for proactive measures intended to help vulnerable families and single parents, victims of intimate partner violence, youth at risk, or persons with substance use and/or mental health disorders who desire treatment-on-demand services. Similarly, addiction recovery programs, offender rehabilitation programs, and programs tailored specifically for Indigenous persons remain scarce.
HELPSEEKER FINDS THAT MORE ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY IS NEEDED.

Many community observers have called for greater community ownership as a way to drive positive change. Community ownership requires not only mobilization but also accountability. One of the best ways to achieve the desired outcomes is to identify aspirational benchmarks and pair them with transparent goals that indicate progress over time. Transparency ensures accountability and encourages organizations to achieve better outcomes. Notably, HelpSeeker’s report concludes that there are few publicly available and transparent metrics to assess the performance and impact of the social services sector.

More transparent reporting by the non-profit sector and government agencies would show how the money is allocated and would help confirm whether there are more efficient and effective ways to spend it. It would help decision-makers determine where they can have the greatest impact on people’s lives as they implement well-intentioned policies or initiatives.

Beyond the initial capital investments required to acquire land and build new facilities or convert existing ones, annual operating expenses for social housing units are steep. In 2020, the City of Vancouver estimated that the annual operating cost for each unit of social housing was approximately $37,500, or $3,125 per month. However, there is no publicly available breakdown showing how staffing costs are allocated and what services are included. There are also very few measurable outcomes. This raises important questions about return-on-investment, value-for-money, and cost-efficiency. A fuller understanding of how social housing costs are allocated is required.

This gap has been noted previously. In 2020, a group of citizens called for an audit of how funding for the social services sector was being spent in the DTES. Public demands for accountability started more than a decade ago. In 2009, the VPD called for a more integrated client-based service delivery model spearheaded by top-level decision-makers accountable for coordinating the DTES recovery efforts of all relevant community partners. The VPD recently advocated for this to the Special Committee on Reforming the Police Act with the goal of improving the current situation through increased coordination and accountability. Since 2009, the conditions in the DTES have grown markedly worse.

Other Canadian cities have also voiced similar concerns—Edmonton, Hamilton, and Saint John (NB) are aspiring to implement the Collective Impact model, which emphasizes structured collaboration between multiple community partners. This model requires five elements: i) a common agenda and shared vision for change, ii) shared measurement systems to track progress and learn from successes as well as failures, iii) mutually reinforcing activities that leverage what each participating agency does best, iv) continuous communication to learn and solve problems together, and iv) a separate, full-time team dedicated to providing backbone support for the entire initiative.

HELPSEEKER’S REPORT CALLS FOR BETTER COORDINATION AND ALIGNMENT.

“The abundance of overlapping services combined with differing service mandates makes seeking and receiving care difficult for many people. There needs to be a set standard for how services can align without overlapping, and to complement one another.”

– HELPSEEKER’S REPORT, PAGE 4.
At the City of Vancouver, for example, social housing projects are managed by four separate departments. Similarly, at least six distinct provincial ministries are directly responsible for specific public policy and service delivery areas related to the social safety net. This means no fewer than 10 separate ministries and departments are directly involved in Vancouver’s social safety net.

Because no one is responsible for overseeing Vancouver’s social services sector in a centralized manner, many of the service providers operate in separate silos. Meanwhile, community members dealing with the health system report confusion, persistent barriers, and a lack of coordination between service providers.

Many services operate in isolation from one another. Health care consumers are frequently confused about what services are available and how they are connected. […] DTES residents feel too many agencies play whack-a-mole, as they wait to see where the people we have failed will emerge – at an emergency ward, or a shelter, or a jail.

The lack of coordination between providers leaves service gaps and creates mutually reinforcing negative feedback loops. If you cannot get stable housing it is extremely difficult to hold down a job, but if you cannot get a full-time job you cannot get a lease for an apartment or basement suite. […] Some people with substance use issues expressed the need to avoid the Downtown Eastside (DTES) to stay clean, but most of the services for recovery and basic living are in the DTES which can draw them back into active substance use.

Safer supply, pharmaceutical-grade alternatives to the toxic street drug supply, is one harm reduction measure that would clearly benefit from a more coordinated approach. The idea has been championed in Vancouver for many years. The Federal government is already funding a number of prescribed safer supply pilot projects across the country. One lingering issue is that the government-authorized pain medication prescribed by doctors is not always the one people want. This discrepancy contributes to the underground market for street drugs.

HelpSeeker’s report makes it clear that better cross-sector collaboration and coordination is needed to minimize the duplication of efforts and better redirect resources that are currently assigned to ineffective (or even counter-productive) activities. There needs to be more understanding and action to harmonize the work currently done in separate silos.
On October 7, 2021, Vancouver City Council approved the Motion titled “Public Safety: Evaluating and Addressing Any Impacts of City of Vancouver Actions on Neighbourhood Safety”, which called for dignified, safe and supportive housing “with access to supportive services including mental health supports as well as addictions management and treatment services.” All these efforts can occur, and will be successful, only if all community partners work together in unison. Isolated actions or policies will not have the desired impact if they are not aligned with what the other organizations are doing.

MORE PARTNERSHIPS ARE NEEDED.

The VPD is committed to use HelpSeeker’s SIA to identify transparent and accountable organizations it can partner with to develop effective solutions. The VPD has long understood that its public safety mission and goals can only be accomplished by fully leveraging strategic partnerships with other organizations and groups. Successful VPD partnerships address upstream drivers of criminality and disorder before they materialize. These VPD partnerships are ongoing and have a positive impact for everyone in Vancouver.

Over the past decades, the VPD has established productive working relationships and partnerships with numerous agencies, the City of Vancouver, local schools, and provincial organizations such as the Ministry of Children and Family Development, health agencies such as VCH, and social service agencies such as Family Services of Greater Vancouver. The VPD also engages local community partners through business associations such as the Downtown Vancouver Business Improvement Association, resident associations via the Block Watch program, and volunteers through Community Policing Centres (CPCs) and the Citizens’ Crime Watch program. These relationships have yielded significant dividends in critical public safety areas such as: youth outreach and intervention, mental health outreach and intervention, victim services and advocacy, and crime prevention.

The VPD also invests in prevention programs such as the NewKids Police Academy and VPD Cadets. These VPD initiatives, and many more, are described in the Community Matters report.

The most successful VPD initiatives are coordinated, multi-faceted, inter-agency efforts. For example, Vancouver’s highly successful Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) partnership program involves five teams of psychiatrists and mental health nurses working alongside police officers, social workers, housing specialists, vocational counselors, occupational therapists, peer counselors, and cultural support workers. The client-centric ACT program relies on a holistic approach to serve community members, helping them with medications, housing, finances, and everyday problems they may experience. Outcomes for ACT participants improve measurably once they join the program: within one year after enrollment, hospital stays related to mental health and emergency department visits by ACT participants in Vancouver shrink by half, and police contacts shrink by one third on average. Data shows that these improvements are sustained long afterwards and even grow stronger as time goes by. In the long term, it is estimated that ACT participants visit emergency departments 86% less often, spend 31 fewer days per year in hospital for mental health treatment, reduce their police contacts by two thirds, and reduce their risk of victimization by one third.47 These Vancouver-specific findings are consistent with academic research which showed that the ACT model is cost-effective.48 At a strategic level, the ACT partnership has also encouraged system-wide efficiency gains.

The reality is that the entire VPD budget accounts only for approximately 6.2% of Vancouver’s social safety net. For every dollar spent on policing in Vancouver, $15 is spent on other areas of the social safety net.

While they account for a relatively modest share of the social safety net, investments into policing enable other community partners to do their valuable work within an incredibly hazardous environment. The fact is that many frontline workers would be unable to accomplish their work if they were not supported by police.
CONCLUSION

There is a mistaken belief that police and social programs compete for a set amount of resources and funding, implying that the social services sector is a zero-sum game. It is not an “either/or” proposition. Both areas require proper funding and attention in order to be successful. Police and social programs are, in fact, complementary. Both contribute positively to Vancouver’s social safety net.

The purpose of this report is to initiate a conversation that leads to improved cohesion and a brighter future for those suffering the most. The VPD is prepared to engage with all service delivery partners and contribute to a better, more collaborative approach to deal with social issues in ways that do not compromise public safety or community well-being.

Ultimately, the right amount of money should be spent in the right places and on the right initiatives to achieve the best possible outcomes. The people of Vancouver deserve nothing less.

Needles all over the floor I can deal with because I know that they’re there... I can deal with that. I don’t like the guy sitting in the chair, while we’re doing an overdose in the SRO’s, staring at me, with the knife next to him. That bothers me."

— PARAMEDIC WORKING IN THE DTES QUOTED IN WILLIAMS-YUEN ET AL. (2020).

I am a psychiatrist. I work at VGH. [...] I do want to say that we cannot do our work without that partnership. The officers that work on those teams work closely with our nurses and our clinicians and our physicians. We go into very interesting situations at times but, with the utmost attention to trauma-informed practice [...], the police that are involved in these situations are excellent, incredibly thoughtful, and nuanced in those situations. So we need more of that.”

TABLE 1. SAMPLE OF SOCIAL HOUSING FACILITIES IN VANCOUVER PURCHASED BY GOVERNMENTS IN 2020 AND 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOCIAL HOUSING FACILITY</th>
<th>STREET ADDRESS</th>
<th>PURCHASED BY</th>
<th>EST. PRICE* ($ MILLION)</th>
<th>NO. OF UNITS</th>
<th>COST PER UNIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Howard Johnson (Luugat)</td>
<td>1176 &amp; 1150 Granville</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>$514,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchan Hotel</td>
<td>1906 Haro</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>$311,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornton Park Hotel</td>
<td>956 Main</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$227,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Hotel</td>
<td>1012 Main</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$215,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Hotel</td>
<td>403 &amp; 427 East Hastings</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>$330,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Hotel*</td>
<td>928 Main</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$426,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns Block</td>
<td>18 West Hastings</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$363,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramada</td>
<td>435 West Pender</td>
<td>Federal + Province</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$258,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver Hostel</td>
<td>1025 Granville</td>
<td>Federal + Province</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>$250,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lark</td>
<td>103 East Hastings</td>
<td>Federal + Province</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$154,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Western*</td>
<td>205 Kingsway</td>
<td>Federal + Municipal</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>$210,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days Inn</td>
<td>2075 Kingsway</td>
<td>Federal + Municipal</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$292,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>267.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>817</strong></td>
<td><strong>$327,662</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VARIOUS SOURCES: BC Auditor General (2022); BC Ministry of Attorney General (2021); McElroy (2021); Chan (2021); Bula (2021). * When the purchase price of a property was not disclosed, its assessed value is reported.
## TABLE 2. YEARS OF LIFE LOST DUE TO PREVENTABLE CAUSES BY CANADIAN JURISDICTION.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FATAL DRUG OVERDOSES</th>
<th></th>
<th>ALL PREVENTABLE CAUSES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced life</td>
<td>Rate per 100,000</td>
<td>Years of life lost per 100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rank 1=Worst</td>
<td>Rank 1=Worst</td>
<td>Rank 1=Worst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver, BC</td>
<td>-0.441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser South, BC</td>
<td>-0.311</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraser North, BC</td>
<td>-0.265</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,713</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Shore/Coast, BC</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,759</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond, BC</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto, ON</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peel Region, ON</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,361</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa, ON</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Region, ON</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Québec Region, QC</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montréal, QC</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,683</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### TABLE 3. OPERATING EXPENSES FOR VANCOUVER’S THREE LARGEST SOCIAL HOUSING OPERATORS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense category</th>
<th>$ SPENT IN 2021</th>
<th>% OF ENTIRE OPERATING BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atira*</td>
<td>PHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and employee benefits</td>
<td>$32,065,434</td>
<td>$39,549,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building operations (Note 1)</td>
<td>$14,311,862</td>
<td>$9,483,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client and residential services (Note 2)</td>
<td>$4,114,793</td>
<td>$6,016,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration (Note 3)</td>
<td>$3,220,673</td>
<td>$5,664,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial charges (Note 4)</td>
<td>$873,427</td>
<td>$942,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$54,586,189</td>
<td>$61,656,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of units** under management</td>
<td>~800</td>
<td>~1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. monthly operating costs per unit</td>
<td>$5,600</td>
<td>$2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excl. building operations</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
1) Building operations category includes: maintenance, amortization, rent or lease costs, utilities, security, and property taxes, as applicable.
2) Client and residential services category includes: rent supplements, food, and supplies, as applicable.
3) Administration category includes: general administration, fundraising, marketing/communications, legal professional fees, as applicable.
4) Financial charges category includes: debt servicing, bank charges, and other financial adjustments (e.g. bad debts written off), as applicable.

SOURCE: Published 2021 Financial Statements and Annual Reports.

* Excludes Atira’s expenses earmarked specifically for its emergency COVID-19 response.
** Not all of them strictly in Vancouver.
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